Tools to Prioritize Flood Mitigation Projects



Tom Amstadt – 12-1-2021





Introduction

- Limited Resources
- Three Tools (Metrics)
 - **–Level of Service**
 - -Flood Damage Estimate
 - -Benefit Cost Analysis







Level of Service (LOS)

- A qualitative measure of how well a system performs
- Objective and repeatable metric to identify and compare severity of flooding problems







• Approach – Establish Criteria

- Pass/Fail or A, B, C, D, E
- Design Storm Model Results vs. Asset Classification vs.
 Landmark Elevations











LOS A

STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTAINED WITHIN MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

LOS B

STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTAINED WITHIN RIGHT-OF-WAY

LOS C STORMWATER RUNOFF CONTAINED WITHIN PROPERTY (NO STRUCTURE IMPACT)

LOS D STORMWATER RUNOFF IMPACTING STRUCTURES

Source: Bass Slough Basin Study, Osceola County, Inwood, 2003.



Develop Base Data

Topographic Data

Approach – Gather and

H&H Model of Area of Interest

- Roads Data - Centerlines
 - Lane Widths

Establish Flood Elevations

- Classification
- Structures Data
 - Footprints
 - Finished Floor Elevations
 - Structure Type
- Other Locations











Example #1 – Highlands County Carter Creek Watershed _{Roads}

Readinary Type	Flood Doubh ¹	2.33-Year	5-Year	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year
Roadway Type	Flood Depth	(0.429 chance)	(0.2 chance)	(0.1 chance)	(0.04 chance)	(0.02 chance)	(0.01 chance)
Private/other Road	< 0	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
	0 to 0.17	D	С	В	В	Α	А
	0.17 to 0.33	F	D	С	В	В	Α
	0.33 to 0.5	F	F	D	С	В	В
	> 0.5	F	F	F	D	С	С
	< 0	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α
	0 to 0.17	D	С	В	В	Α	А
Local Road	0.17 to 0.33	F	D	С	В	В	Α
	0.33 to 0.5	F	F	D	С	В	В
	> 0.5	F	F	F	D	С	С
	< -0.5	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	A
Mines Collector	-0.5 to 0	D	D	С	В	В	Α
Winor Collector	0 to 0.17	F	F	D	С	В	В
Road	0.17 to 0.5	F	F	F	D	С	В
	> 0.5	F	F	F	F	D	С
	< -0.5	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	A
Major Collector	-0.5 to 0	D	D	D	С	В	В
Road	0 to 0.17	F	F	F	D	С	В
	0.17 to 0.5	F	F	F	F	D	С
	> 0.5	F	F	F	F	F	D
Minor Arterial	< -0.5	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	А
	-0.5 to 0	D	D	D	С	С	С
	0 to 0.17	F	F	D	D	D	D
	> 0.17	F	F	F	F	F	F
	<-2	Α	Α	Α	Α	Α	А
Evacuation	-2 to -0.5	D	D	D	С	С	В
Route/Principle	-0.5 to 0	F	F	D	D	D	С
Arterial	0 to 0.17	F	F	F	F	F	F
	> 0.17	F	F	F	F	F	F

Structures

Characteriza Turas	cl. 10	100-Year		
structure Type	Flood Depth	(0.01 chance)		
	< -2	Α		
Emergency Shelter /	-2 to -1	В		
Escential Services	-1 to 0	С		
Essential services	0 to 0.5	D		
	> 0.5	F		
	< -1	А		
Employment Service	-1 to -0.5	В		
Contor	-0.5 to 0	С		
Center	0 to 0.5	D		
	> 0.5	F		
	<-1	Α		
	-1 to -0.5	В		
Mobile Home	-0.5 to 0	С		
	0 to 0.5	D		
	> 0.5	F		
	<-1	А		
Permanent	-1 to -0.5	В		
Habitable	-0.5 to 0	С		
Structures	0 to 0.5	D		
	> 0.5	F		

A: Excellent, Flow and stages contained within drainage system.

B: Very Good, Flow and stages contained within drainage system.

C: Adequate, Minor road inundation during ideal LOS design storm.

D: Substandard, Flow exceeds capacity of drainage structure.

F: Unacceptable, Flow exceeds capacity of drainage structure.





Example #1 – Highlands County Carter Creek Watershed





Example #2 – Pasco County Club Wildwood Watershed

Table 2-1: Flooding LOS Classification for Roads

Approach to Assessing Level-of-Service, Surface Water Resources, and Best Management Practice Alternatives for Watersheds in Pasco County, Florida

Roads LOS Classification						
Event	Evacuation	Arterial	Collector	Local Roads		
	Roads	Roads	Roads	Major	Minor	
100-Year (0.01 chance)	E	E	D	С	В	
50-Year (0.02 chance)	E	E	E	D	С	
25-Year (0.04 chance)	Е	E	Е	E	D	
10-Year (0.1 chance)	E	E	Е	E	E	
5-Year (0.2 chance)	E	E	E	Е	E	
2.33-Year (0.43 chance)	E	E	E	E	E	





Example #2 – Pasco County Club Wildwood Watershed





Example #3 – Seminole County Lake Harney Watershed

	Road LOS Classification (Design Storm)					
Flood Depth (feet)	Evacuation Route (100-yr / 96-hr)	Arterial / Collector Street (10-yr / 24-hr)	Local Street (10-yr /24-hr)			
≤ - 1.0	А	А	А			
-1.0 to -0.5	А	В	А			
-0.5 to 0	А	В	В			
0 to 0.5	С	С	С			
>0.5	D	D	D			

	Structural LOS Classification					
	Emergency	Permanent	Mobile Home/	Employment		
Lowest Storm Event	Shelter/Essential	Habitable	Manufactured	Service		
that Causes Flooding	Services	Structures	Home	Center		
No Flooding in Listed	А	А	А	А		
Design Storm Events						
100 Year (0.01 chance)	D	В	В	В		
50 Year (0.02 chance)	D	С	В	В		
25 Year (0.04 chance)	D	D	С	С		
10 Year (0.1 chance)	D	D	D	С		
MA (0.43 chance)	D	D	D	D		



SUMMARY OF LEVEL OF SERVICE GRADES

- A FLOOD ELEVATION IS MORE THAN 0.5 FEET BELOW THE ROAD SURFACE FOR LOCAL ROADS OR MORE THAN 1.0 FOOT BELOW THE ROAD SURFACE FOR ARTERIAL/COLLECTOR ROADS.
- B FLOOD ELEVATION IS GREATER THAN GRADE 'A' BUT STILL BELOW THE ROAD SURFACE.
- C FLOOD ELEVATION IS 0.5 FEET OR LESS ABOVE THE ROAD SURFACE.
- D FLOOD ELEVATION IS 0.5 FEET OR GREATER ABOVE THE ROAD SURFACE.



Example #3 – Seminole County Lake Harney Watershed





• Example #4 – Orange County Orlo Vista Watershed





- Road Damages
 - Structural Damages (i.e., pavement, subbase, etc.)
 - Road Classification, Flood Length, Number of Lanes
 - Traffic Delays
 - Duration of Flooding, Flood Depth, Traffic Count







Geosyntec[▷]

consultants



• Structure Damages

- Structural Damages
- Content Damages
- Other Damages (i.e., displacement, loss of business, vehicles, livestock, etc.)
- Depth Damage Functions (DDF), USACE and others
 - Flood Depth Vs. Finished
 Floor



Geosyntec[▷] consultants

Difficult to Quantify Damages

- Human Life
- Psychological Effects
- Social Impacts
- Public Perception / Acceptance





A night of heavy rain left several neighborhoods flooded.





 Example #1 – Orange County Orlo Vista Hurricane Irma Flooding





- Example #1 Orange County Orlo Vista Hurricane Irma Flooding
- Damages include
 - Building damages
 - Content damages
 - Displacement costs
 - Road closures cost (delay time)
 - Rescue costs
 - Flood insurance claims
 - Stressed residents
- Damages data provided to FDEM to support HMGP grant application





Geosyntec^o

consultants



Example #2 – Manatee County Bowlees Creek Watershed



Benefit-Cost Analysis

- Additional Data Needs
 - Alternative Design Concept
 - Alternative Conditions H&H Model



Geosyntec^o

consultants

- Benefits (Avoided Flood Damages) = Existing Alternative
- Costs = Implementation Cost + O & M Cost
- Service Life and Interest Rate to Calculate Present Value

$$BC = \frac{Damages \ before \ -Damages \ after}{Project \ Cost}$$

BCR > 1 = Cost Effective Project



Benefit-Cost Analysis

Geosyntec Consultants

 Example #1 – Manatee County Bowlees Creek Cooperative Funding Initiative (CFI) Assistance

Remove and Modify Weirs in Bowlees Creek



V	Estimated Flood Damages					
ilding (A)	Roadway (A)	Total (A)	Benefit (A)	Benefit (P)	Cost (P)	BCR
10,774	\$1,621,902	\$2,132,676	-	-	-	-
48,795	\$1,526,776	\$1,975,571	\$157,104	\$1,949,516	\$467,736	4.2
	ilding (A) 10,774 48,795	ilding Roadway (A) (A) 10,774 \$1,621,902 48,795 \$1,526,776	ilding (A) Roadway (A) Total (A) 10,774 \$1,621,902 \$2,132,676 48,795 \$1,526,776 \$1,975,571	ilding (A) Roadway (A) Total (A) (A) 10,774 \$1,621,902 \$2,132,676 - 48,795 \$1,526,776 \$1,975,571 \$157,104	ilding (A) Roadway (A) Total (A) (A) (P) 10,774 \$1,621,902 \$2,132,676 - - 48,795 \$1,526,776 \$1,975,571 \$157,104 \$1,949,516	ilding (A) Roadway (A) Total (A) (A) (P) (P) 10,774 \$1,621,902 \$2,132,676 - - - 48,795 \$1,526,776 \$1,975,571 \$157,104 \$1,949,516 \$467,736

(A) – cost/benefit is expressed as an annual payment.

(P) – cost/benefit is expressed as the present value of a single payment.

Benefit-Cost Analysis



Example #2 – Orange County Orlo Vista Drawdown
 Alternative



Summary & Conclusions



Three Metrics

- Level of Service
 - Identify Problem Areas
 - Compare Severity of Flooding
- Flood Damage Estimates
 - Quantify Projected Damages
 - Prioritize and Rank
- Benefit-Cost Analysis
 - Evaluate Project Cost Effectiveness
 - Identify Funding Opportunities







- Acknowledgements
 - Max Wallace, PE, CFM
 - Sevil Ranjbar, El
 - Nick Hartshorn, PE, CFM
- Contact
 - <u>tamstadt@geosyntec.com</u>
 - 321-249-9361

Thank You!

